



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,448	10/29/2003	Henry Louis Carbone II	17,622	7969
23556 7590 03/10/2005			EXAMINER	
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC.			GOODMAN, CHARLES	
401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH, WI 54956			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3724	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Comment	10/697,448	CARBONE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles Goodman	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tion. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron statute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 December 2004.					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for al						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and sub	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 January 2005 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se orrection is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	' <i>,</i>					
	ments have been received. ments have been received in Applica priority documents have been receiver ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The Amendment filed on 12/16/04 has been entered.
- 2. The drawings were received on 1/19/05. These drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon (US 6,644,153) in view of Wood (US 6,780,272).

Gordon discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the step for moving the second portion away from the first portion as claimed, i.e. Gordon does not teach a specific means of removing one portion from the other. However, Wood teaches

*

Application/Control Number: 10/697,448

Art Unit: 3724

a method of producing web products in which a second portion (e.g. 23 - Fig. 1) is moved away from the first portion (e.g. 22 - Fig. 1) while the first portion is in contact between movable features (e.g. at 5 - Fig. 1). In other words, Wood teaches a method and means to move the desire product away from the waste or scrap. With respect to Gordon, it is deemed to be an obvious step or means in the blanking art to provide a positive step or means of removing or separating the product from the waste. Thus, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of the instant invention to provide the invention of Gordon with the step or means of separating the product (e.g. first portion) from the waste (e.g. the second portion) as taught and suggested by Wood in order to facilitate positive removal of unwanted wastes.

Based upon the above modification, it is the Examiner's view that the modified invention of Gordon would maintain contact as claimed since the ejector of Gordon maintains contact with the product or waste through a certain arc of the rotation cycle of the drums.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM

Application/Control Number: 10/697,448

Art Unit: 3724

to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (571) 272-4514. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (703) 872-9306.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

cg / March 7, 2005 Charles Goodman Primary Examiner AU 3724

HARLES GOO!